Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/871,837	ORTOWSKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Edwin A. León	2833	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(1) <u>Edwin A. León</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Glenn Brown</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 13/13/03			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)∐ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: 1.			
Identification of prior art discussed: Pepe (U.S. Patent No. 5,836,786).			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Attorney agreed to changelectrical connectors in order to put the case in condition for the case in case in</u>	<u>ge the limitations on Claim 1 re</u>	if an agreement eciting connector	was s to
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amenda allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	reed would rende ould render the	er the claims claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
	Why	h-h-	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signa	ature. if required	

Interview Summary

Paper No. 121703

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)